



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. D. Sca 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,388	04/03/2002	Inge Kruse	100718-354/Beiersdorf 750 9981	
5	7590 07/11/2003			
	ughlin & Marcus	EXAMINER		
30th Floor 220 East 42nd Street			CRIARES, THEODORE J	
New York, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	_
	·		DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	App	lication No.	Applicant(s)			
•	·   · ·		/			
Offic Action Summary		980,388	KRUSE ET AL.			
omo Aoton Gammar	LAU!	miner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this com		odore J. Criares	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than thi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for - Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704( Status	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir communication. irty (30) days, a reply within t um statutory period will apply reply will, by statute, cause t iths after the mailing date of	n no event, however, may a reply be he statutory minimum of thirty (30) d and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 April 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-27</u> is/are pending ir	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for domestic prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144)			ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Su	ımmary	Part of Paper No. 10			

Art Unit: 1617

## **CLAIMS 11-27 ARE PRESENTED FOR EXAMINATION**

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 11-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beiersdorf AG (WO/96/18352, hereinafter referred to as Beiersdorf).

An official translation of the cited patent will be forwarded to the applicants as soon as it is completed.

Art Unit: 1617

Applicants' claims are drawn to the prevention or alleviation of sunburn by topically applying to skin a formulation comprising ascorbic acid and/or at least one ascorbyl compound; and at least one flavone derivative and/or flavonone derivative.

Beiersdorf teach at page 1, first paragraph that its invention relates to cosmetic or dermatological compositions for the treatment and/or prophylaxis of. . .and protecting cells which participate in the immune response of the skin. At page 4 its composition is taught to aid the immune system which is already damaged.

The major teaching of this reference is drawn to the use of flaonoids and at pages 9 and 10 the flavonoid alpha glucosylrutin is taught (applicants' claim 13) used as a protective agent against UV but also teaches that the formulation at page 18 can be used a sunscreen. The examiner has given applicants claims their broadest interpretation and since the term "preventing" is used in the claims a teaching of a sunscreen is within this terminology.

The amounts of flavanones that can be utilized as set forth in claims 14-16 are within the teachings of Beiersdorf at page 15, second full paragraph. The complexes taught and claimed by applicants at claims 23-27 are taught by Beiersdorf at page14, teachs EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid). The reference further teaches at page 14 that ascorbyl palmitate, Mg ascorbyl phosphate and ascorbyl acetate are useful in the formulation. Therefore, the combination of claims 11-17 of ascorbyl and flavanoid to prevent and/or alleviate sunburn are obviated. The amounts of ascorbyl compounds (claims 18-20) to be present in the solution are taught at page 18, penultimate paragraph.

Art Unit: 1617

The elements of applicants' claims for a method of using a composition of flavanoids and ascorbyl derivatives, together with the additives for a sunscreen composition to prevent or alleviate sunburn are taught by Beiersdorf.

The test of obviousness is "whether the teachings of the prior art, taken as a whole, would have made obvious the claimed invention." In re Gorman, 933 F.2d 982, 18 USPQ 2d 1885, (Fed. Cir. 1991). In view of the above rejection it is deemed that the evidence presented has established a prima facie case of obviousness. is presented.

None of the claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Criares whose telephone number is 308-4607. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 A.M. to 5:00P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Theodore J. Criares can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-6897 for regular communications and N/A for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

Theodore J. Criares Vimary Examiner Art Unit 1617

tjc July 9, 2003